

BSM Higgs bosons above 1 TeV (theory overview)

Heather Logan Carleton University

for CMS Higgs group March 1, 2016 The naturalist: "Having one Higgs at 125 GeV and the rest above 1 TeV is fine-tuned. Why would you do that?"

The pragmatist: "We look for SUSY at multi TeV and that is fine-tuned too. If we have the reach, it's silly not to do the search. (Also, \sim 2 TeV is not all that fine-tuned.)"

Outline

SM + singlet model

Two Higgs doublet model

SM + triplets model (Georgi-Machacek)

Summary: "rules of thumb"

SM + singlet model

Simplest possible extension (but not necessarily the most interesting!)

Two Higgs states:

$$h = \cos \alpha \phi^{0,r} - \sin \alpha S, \qquad H = \sin \alpha \phi^{0,r} + \cos \alpha S$$



SM + singlet model

Cannot take H arbitrarily heavy at fixed κ^{H} ! Typically $\kappa^{H} \propto v/M_{H}$ in singlet model

Related to "decoupling limit" of h(125) interactions.

2 ways to see this:

Study scalar potential of the model
 Write masses, mixing angles, etc in terms of Lagrangian parameters
 Consider perturbativity constraints on dimensionless couplings
 Constraints are very model-specific

- Study perturbative unitarity of $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering Model-independent constraints (Sometimes model-specific constraints are tighter)

SM + singlet model: scalar potential e.g. Barger et al, 0706.4311

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{2} S^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda'}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_1 m^2}{2\lambda} \right) S + \frac{\mu_2}{3} S^3 + \frac{\lambda''}{4} S^4$$

 $m^2, M^2 \sim (\text{mass})^2, \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \sim (\text{mass}), \quad \lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \text{ dimensionless}$ Coefficient of S chosen so $\langle S \rangle = 0$ (no physical consequences)

EWSB
$$\rightarrow v = \sqrt{-2m^2/\lambda}$$
 or $m^2 = -\lambda v^2/2$
 \Rightarrow Eliminate m^2 in favour of $v \simeq 246$ GeV

The other dimensionful couplings M^2, μ_1, μ_2 can be large.

Dimensionless couplings $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda''$ are bounded by requiring all 2 \rightarrow 2 scalar scattering amplitudes satisfy perturbative unitarity.

SM + singlet model: scalar potential using Barger et al, 0706.4311

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)^2 + \frac{M^2}{2} S^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda'}{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi S^2 + \left(\frac{\mu_1 m^2}{2\lambda} \right) S + \frac{\mu_2}{3} S^3 + \frac{\lambda''}{4} S^4$$

Mass² matrix for h and H in the $(\phi^{0,r}, S)$ basis:

$$M_{h,H}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda v^2/2 & \mu_1 v/2 \\ \mu_1 v/2 & M^2 + \lambda' v^2/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider $M \gg v$, with $\mu_1 \sim M$:

 $\mu_1 \lesssim \mathcal{O}(M)$ or cubic term leads to bad alternative minimum of potential

 $m_h^2 \simeq \lambda v^2/2$ $m_H^2 \simeq M^2$ $C' \equiv \sin \alpha \simeq \mu_1 v/2M^2 \sim v/M$

H production xsecs $\propto (\kappa^H)^2 \sim v^2/M^2$ (h couplings $C = 1 - \mathcal{O}(v^2/M^2)$)

Complicated but no show-stoppersTheorists can calculate all this and give you model-specific scansHeather Logan (Carleton U.)BSM Higgs above 1 TeVCMS Higgs group Feb 2016

Perturbative unitarity of $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering: E^2 term



- SM: Higgs exchange cancels E^2/v^2 term in amplitude.

- SM+singlet: To preserve cancellation at $E \gg m_H$, need a sum rule: $(\kappa_V^h)^2 + (\kappa_V^H)^2 = 1$ (equivalent to $C^2 + C'^2 = \cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha = 1$)

Perturbative unitarity of $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering: E^0 term



- combine with sum rule $(\kappa_V^h)^2 + (\kappa_V^H)^2 = 1$:

$$C'^{2} \equiv (\kappa_{V}^{H})^{2} < \frac{16\pi v^{2} - 5m_{h}^{2}}{5(m_{H}^{2} - m_{h}^{2})} \simeq \frac{16\pi v^{2}}{5m_{H}^{2}} \simeq \left(\frac{780 \text{ GeV}}{m_{H}}\right)^{2}$$

#1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$

SM + singlet model: electroweak precision constraints

T parameter (yellow) and W boson mass (red) are more constraining until very high masses ($WW \rightarrow WW$ unitarity takes over eventually)



Robens & Stefaniak, 1601.07880

SM + singlet: $\kappa_f^H = \kappa_V^H = \sin \alpha$: all possible production cross sections are rather suppressed, but the model is still viable.

#1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints

Two Higgs Doublet Model

Two doublets: Φ_1 and Φ_2 , vevs $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v_{SM}^2$, $v_2/v_1 \equiv \tan \beta$

- Up-type quark masses from Φ_2 : coupling strength m_u/v_2
- Down-type quark and lepton masses from Φ_2 (Type I) or Φ_1 (Type II): coupling strength $m_{d,\ell}/v_2$ (Type I) or $m_{d,\ell}/v_1$ (Type II)

Five Higgs states (counting H^+ and H^- as two):

$$h = \cos \alpha \, \phi_2^{0,r} - \sin \alpha \, \phi_1^{0,r} \qquad H = \sin \alpha \, \phi_2^{0,r} + \cos \alpha \, \phi_1^{0,r} \\ A = \cos \beta \, \phi_2^{0,i} - \sin \beta \, \phi_1^{0,i} \qquad H^{\pm} = \cos \beta \, \phi_2^{\pm} - \sin \beta \, \phi_1^{\pm}$$

In this language the high-mass behaviour of H, A, H^{\pm} is not very transparent.

First do a change of basis to the Higgs basis: Φ_h vev = v_{SM} , Φ_0 vev = 0

$$\Phi_h = \sin\beta \Phi_2 + \cos\beta \Phi_1 \qquad \Phi_0 = \cos\beta \Phi_2 - \sin\beta \Phi_1$$

Two Higgs Doublet Model: Higgs basis

Five Higgs states (counting H^+ and H^- as two):

$$h = \sin(\beta - \alpha) \phi_h^{0,r} - \cos(\beta - \alpha) \phi_0^{0,r}$$
$$H = \cos(\beta - \alpha) \phi_h^{0,r} + \sin(\beta - \alpha) \phi_0^{0,r}$$
$$A = \phi_0^{0,i} \qquad H^{\pm} = \phi_0^{\pm}$$

 $\phi_h^{0,r}VV$ couplings same as SM, while $\phi_0^{0,r}VV = 0$:

- Couplings of h to VV universally suppressed by $\sin(\beta - \alpha) \equiv \kappa_V^h$ - Couplings of H to VV are complementary: $\cos(\beta - \alpha) \equiv \kappa_V^H$ Sum rule $(\kappa_V^h)^2 + (\kappa_V^H)^2 = 1$ is $\sin^2(\beta - \alpha) + \cos^2(\beta - \alpha) = 1$ VBF production xsec $= (\kappa_V^H)^2 \sigma(SM)$ at H mass

 $WW \rightarrow WW$ perturbative unitarity constrains

$$\cos^2(eta - lpha) \equiv (\kappa_V^H)^2 \lesssim \left(rac{780 \text{ GeV}}{m_H}
ight)^2$$

same as singlet... But for 2HDM the constraint from the scalar potential is much more stringent!

Two Higgs Doublet Model: Higgs basis Haber et al, 1507.00933

$$\mathcal{V} = Y_1 H_1^{\dagger} H_1 + Y_2 H_2^{\dagger} H_2 + Y_3 [H_1^{\dagger} H_2 + \text{h.c.}] + \frac{1}{2} Z_1 (H_1^{\dagger} H_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} Z_2 (H_2^{\dagger} H_2)^2 + Z_3 (H_1^{\dagger} H_1) (H_2^{\dagger} H_2) + Z_4 (H_1^{\dagger} H_2) (H_2^{\dagger} H_1) + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} Z_5 (H_1^{\dagger} H_2)^2 + \left[Z_6 (H_1^{\dagger} H_1) + Z_7 (H_2^{\dagger} H_2) \right] H_1^{\dagger} H_2 + \text{h.c.} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

 $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \sim (\text{mass})^2$, $Z_1, \ldots Z_7$ dimensionless $H_1 \equiv \Phi_h, H_2 \equiv \Phi_0$

Minimization of potential yields $Y_1 = -Z_1 v^2/2$, $Y_3 = -Z_6 v^2/2$ Only one dimensionful parameter $Y_2 \equiv M^2$, can be large $\gg v^2$

Masses:

$$\begin{split} m_{H^{\pm}}^{2} &= Y_{2} + Z_{3}v^{2}/2 \qquad m_{A}^{2} = m_{H^{\pm}}^{2} + (Z_{4} - Z_{5})v^{2}/2 \\ M_{h,H}^{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1}v^{2} & Z_{6}v^{2} \\ Z_{6}v^{2} & m_{A}^{2} + Z_{5}v^{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ m_{h}^{2} &\simeq Z_{1}v^{2} \qquad m_{H}^{2} \simeq M^{2} \qquad \cos(\beta - \alpha) \simeq Z_{6}v^{2}/M^{2} \sim v^{2}/M^{2} \\ \text{Compare singlet } m_{h}^{2} \simeq \lambda v^{2}/2 \qquad m_{H}^{2} \simeq M^{2} \qquad C' \equiv \sin \alpha \simeq \mu_{1}v/2M^{2} \sim v/M \end{split}$$

Fast decoupling $\cos^2(\beta - \alpha) \equiv (\kappa_V^H)^2 \simeq Z_6^2 v^4 / m_H^4!$ Makes VBF very suppressed! But precision EW constraints are looser than SM+singlet. Heather Logan (Carleton U.) BSM Higgs above 1 TeV CMS Higgs group Feb 2016

#1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints except in 2HDM they fall like $1/M_H^2$ (reason: no cubic terms in V)

Two Higgs Doublet Model: fermion couplings

Two doublets: Φ_1 and Φ_2 , vevs $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v_{SM}^2$, $v_2/v_1 \equiv \tan \beta$

- Up-type quark masses from Φ_2 : coupling strength m_u/v_2
- Down-type quark and lepton masses from Φ_2 (Type I) or Φ_1 (Type II): coupling strength $m_{d,\ell}/v_2$ (Type I) or $m_{d,\ell}/v_1$ (Type II)

First do a change of basis to the Higgs basis: $\Phi_h \text{ vev} = v_{SM}$, $\Phi_0 \text{ vev} = 0$

$$\Phi_h = \sin\beta \Phi_2 + \cos\beta \Phi_1 \qquad \Phi_0 = \cos\beta \Phi_2 - \sin\beta \Phi_1$$

Physical Higgs states: $\cos(\beta - \alpha) \simeq Z_6 v^2 / M^2 \sim v^2 / M^2$

$$h = \sin(\beta - \alpha) \phi_h^{0,r} - \cos(\beta - \alpha) \phi_0^{0,r}$$
$$H = \cos(\beta - \alpha) \phi_h^{0,r} + \sin(\beta - \alpha) \phi_0^{0,r}$$
$$A = \phi_0^{0,i} \qquad H^{\pm} = \phi_0^{\pm}$$

So at high mass $H \simeq \phi_0^{0,r}$, $A = \phi_0^{0,i}$, $H^{\pm} = \phi_0^{\pm}$: the entire doublet becomes heavy.

Two Higgs Doublet Model: fermion couplings

Two doublets: Φ_1 and Φ_2 , vevs $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v_{SM}^2$, $v_2/v_1 \equiv \tan \beta$

- Up-type quark masses from Φ_2 : coupling strength m_u/v_2
- Down-type quark and lepton masses from Φ_2 (Type I) or Φ_1 (Type II): coupling strength $m_{d,\ell}/v_2$ (Type I) or $m_{d,\ell}/v_1$ (Type II)

First do a change of basis to the Higgs basis: $\Phi_h \text{ vev} = v_{SM}$, $\Phi_0 \text{ vev} = 0$

$$\Phi_h = \sin\beta \Phi_2 + \cos\beta \Phi_1 \qquad \Phi_0 = \cos\beta \Phi_2 - \sin\beta \Phi_1$$

Coupling strengths of Φ_0 to fermions:

Type I: $\cos \beta \times m_f / v_2 = \cot \beta \times m_f / v_{SM}$ (all quarks & leptons)

Type II: $\cos \beta \times m_u / v_2 = \cot \beta \times m_u / v_{SM}$ (up-type) Type II: $\sin \beta \times m_{d,\ell} / v_1 = \tan \beta \times m_{d,\ell} / v_{SM}$ (down-type & leptons)

These are independent of the heavy-Higgs mass scale!Good news for heavy Higgs production via gluon fusion, $b\overline{b}$ -fusionHeather Logan (Carleton U.)BSM Higgs above 1 TeVCMS Higgs group Feb 2016

- #1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints except in 2HDM they fall like $1/M_H^2$ (reason: no cubic terms in V)
- #2. Heavy Higgs couplings to fermions are not suppressed in Type-II 2HDM can even be enhanced $\sim \tan \beta$ (down-type & leptons) except in SM+singlet where fermion couplings are tied to VV couplings

Two Higgs Doublet Model: mass splittings

At high mass $H \simeq \phi_0^{0,r}$, $A = \phi_0^{0,i}$, $H^{\pm} = \phi_0^{\pm}$: the BSM Higgses all live (mostly) in a single doublet.

Mass splittings within an SU(2) multiplet come only from EWSB:

$$m_{H^{\pm}}^{2} = Y_{2} + Z_{3}v^{2}/2 \qquad m_{A}^{2} = m_{H^{\pm}}^{2} + (Z_{4} - Z_{5})v^{2}/2$$
$$M_{h,H}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1}v^{2} & Z_{6}v^{2} \\ Z_{6}v^{2} & m_{A}^{2} + Z_{5}v^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Delta m^{2} \sim \lambda v^{2} \text{ and } m^{2} \sim M^{2} \implies \Delta m \sim \lambda v^{2}/M$$

Mass splittings $\Delta m \sim \lambda v \times v/M$

Heavy states become increasingly degenerate at high mass

Compare fermionic decay widths $\propto M$ at fixed coupling (bosonic decay widths $\propto (v^4/M^4) \times M^3 \sim 1/M$ due to coupling suppression)

- #1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints except in 2HDM they fall like $1/M_H^2$ (reason: no cubic terms in V)
- #2. Heavy Higgs couplings to fermions are not suppressed in Type-II 2HDM can even be enhanced $\sim \tan \beta$ (down-type & leptons) except in SM+singlet where fermion couplings are tied to VV couplings
- #3. Heavy Higgs states become more degenerate at high mass generic for the members of an SU(2) multiplet: $\Delta m \sim \lambda v^2/M$

Georgi-Machacek model Georgi & Machacek 1985; Chanowitz & Golden 1985

SM Higgs + two isospin-triplets in a bitriplet:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{0*} & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^{+*} & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad X = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^{0*} & \xi^+ & \chi^{++} \\ -\chi^{+*} & \xi^0 & \chi^+ \\ \chi^{++*} & -\xi^{+*} & \chi^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two custodial singlets h, H, masses m_h , m_H , mixing angle α

$$h = \cos \alpha \, \phi^{0,r} - \sin \alpha (\sqrt{1/3} \, \xi^0 + \sqrt{2/3} \, \chi^{0,r})$$

$$H = \sin \alpha \, \phi^{0,r} + \cos \alpha (\sqrt{1/3} \, \xi^0 + \sqrt{2/3} \, \chi^{0,r})$$

Custodial triplet (H_3^+, H_3^0, H_3^-) , common mass m_3 $H_3^+ = -\sin\theta_H \phi^+ + \cos\theta_H (\chi^+ + \xi^+)/\sqrt{2}, H_3^0 = -\sin\theta_H \phi^{0,i} + \cos\theta_H \chi^{0,i}$; $\tan\theta_H = 2\sqrt{2}v_{\chi}/v_{\phi}$ (orthogonal triplet is the Goldstones)

Custodial 5-plet $(H_5^{++}, H_5^{+}, H_5^{0}, H_5^{-}, H_5^{--})$, common mass m_5 $H_5^{++} = \chi^{++}, H_5^{+} = (\chi^+ - \xi^+)/\sqrt{2}, H_5^{0} = \sqrt{2/3} \xi^0 - \sqrt{1/3} \chi^{0,r}$

Georgi-Machacek model: scalar potential Aoki & Kanemura, 0712.4053 Chiang & Yagyu, 1211.2658; Chiang, Kuo & Yagyu, 1307.7526 Hartling, Kumar & HEL, 1404.2640

$$V(\Phi, X) = \frac{\mu_2^2}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) + \frac{\mu_3^2}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} X) + \lambda_1 [\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)]^2 + \lambda_2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} X) + \lambda_3 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} X X^{\dagger} X) + \lambda_4 [\operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} X)]^2 - \lambda_5 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger} \tau^a \Phi \tau^b) \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} t^a X t^b) - M_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger} \tau^a \Phi \tau^b) (U X U^{\dagger})_{ab} - M_2 \operatorname{Tr}(X^{\dagger} t^a X t^b) (U X U^{\dagger})_{ab}$$

 $\mu_2^2, \mu_3^2 \sim (\text{mass})^2, \quad M_1, M_2 \sim (\text{mass}), \quad \lambda_1, \dots \lambda_5 \text{ dimensionless}$

As in 2HDM, eliminate 2 dimensionful params in favour of vevs

Heavy BSM Higgs bosons: $\mu_3^2 \equiv M^2 \gg v^2$; need $M_1, M_2 \lesssim M$:

Mass matrices $\Rightarrow m_H^2, m_3^2, m_5^2 \simeq M^2$: all states become heavy Mixing angle $\sin \alpha \sim M_1 v / \mu_3^2 \sim v / M$: $\kappa_V^H \sim v / M$ like singlet H_3 fermion couplings $\sim \tan \theta_H m_f / v$, $\sin \theta_H \sim M_1 v / \mu_3^2 \sim v / M$ $\sin \theta_H \equiv 2\sqrt{2}v_{\chi}/v$

- #1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints except in 2HDM they fall like $1/M_H^2$ (reason: no cubic terms in V)
- #2. Heavy Higgs couplings to fermions need not be suppressed in Type-II 2HDM can even be enhanced $\sim \tan \beta$ (down-type & leptons) except in SM+singlet where fermion couplings are tied to VV couplings except in SM+triplets where fermion coups due to doublet mixing $\sim v/M$
- #3. Heavy Higgs states become more degenerate at high mass generic for the members of an SU(2) multiplet: $\Delta m \sim \lambda v^2/M$

Perturbative unitarity of $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering: E^2 term



- Georgi-Machacek model: sum rule involves $h,~H,~{\rm and}~H_5^{0,\pm\pm}$

Perturbative unitarity of $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering: E^2 term

 H_5 couplings to WW/ZZ $\propto \sin \theta_H$ in GM model



 $VV \rightarrow VV$ unitarization sum rule:

$$(\kappa_V^h)^2 + (\kappa_V^H)^2 - \frac{5}{3} \sin^2 \theta_H = 1$$

Falkowski, Rychkov & Urbano, 1202.1532 (see also Higgs Hunter's Guide)



- #1. Heavy Higgs couplings to WW/ZZ generically fall like $1/M_H$ except sometimes there are tighter indirect constraints except in 2HDM they fall like $1/M_H^2$ (reason: no cubic terms in V) SM+triplets model $H_5^{0,\pm,\pm\pm}$ couplings to VV fall like 1/M
- #2. Heavy Higgs couplings to fermions need not be suppressed in Type-II 2HDM can even be enhanced $\sim \tan \beta$ (down-type & leptons) except in SM+singlet where fermion couplings are tied to VV couplings except in SM+triplets where fermion coups due to doublet mixing $\sim v/M$
- #3. Heavy Higgs states become more degenerate at high mass generic for the members of an SU(2) multiplet: $\Delta m \sim \lambda v^2/M$

Spectrum separates into light SM-like Higgs doublet and heavy complete $SU(2)_L$ multiplet(s). Keys are (1) degree of mixing and/or vev carried by heavy multiplet; (2) heavy multiplet coupling to fermions (doublets only).