

# Distinguishing a light dilaton from a light Higgs

Heather Logan Carleton University

The Next Stretch of the Higgs Magnificent Mile Northwestern University (Chicago campus), May 14–16, 2012

Based mostly on B. Coleppa, T. Grégoire and H.E.L., arXiv:1111.3276

1

## Outline

Introduction

Dilaton couplings, production, and decay

Constraints from LEP and LHC

A 125 GeV dilaton?

Future prospects

Conclusions

Introduction: what is a dilaton

Dilaton is the Goldstone boson associated with spontaneously broken scale invariance.

Gildener & Weinberg, PRD 13, 3333 (1976) Goldberger, Grinstein & Skiba, PRL 100, 111802 (2008) Fan, Goldberger, Ross & Skiba, PRD 79, 035017 (2009) Vecchi, PRD 82, 076009 (2010)

Can be much lighter than conformal-breaking scale f in stronglycoupled conformal EWSB theories

Expect f > v: dilaton is not responsible for EWSB

Introduce in the low-energy Lagrangian as a compensator for scale transformations:

insert powers of  $\bar{\chi}/f \equiv (1 + \chi/f)$  to make  $\mathcal{L}$  terms dimension-4

Dilaton couplings: tree level

Insert powers of  $\overline{\chi}/f \equiv (1 + \chi/f)$  to make  $\mathcal{L}$  terms conformal:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{v^2}{4} \operatorname{Tr} |\mathcal{D}_{\mu} U|^2 (\bar{\chi}/f)^2 - m_i \bar{\psi}_i U \psi_i (\bar{\chi}/f) + \cdots$$

U is the nonlinear sigma field for the EWSB Goldstones  $\pi^a$ :

$$U = \exp\left[i(\pi^a \tau^a / v)(f/\bar{\chi})\right]$$

Couplings of the physical dilaton  $\chi$  up to dimension 4:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu \left(\frac{2\chi}{f} + \frac{\chi^2}{f^2}\right) - \frac{\chi}{f} m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i + \cdots$$

Compare the SM Higgs:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu \left(\frac{2h}{v} + \frac{h^2}{v^2}\right) - \frac{h}{v} m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i + \cdots$$

 $\chi VV$  and  $\chi f\bar{f}$  couplings are equal to corresponding SM Higgs couplings but with an extra factor of v/f.

## Dilaton couplings: loop induced

Gauge field strength terms are already conformal, except for running at 1-loop: conformal-restoring terms  $\propto$  beta function

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_{\mathsf{EM}}}{8\pi} b_{\mathsf{EM}} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \ln(\bar{\chi}/f)$$
$$-\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} b_G G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} \ln(\bar{\chi}/f) + \cdots$$

Full SM beta function coefficients (including top quark):

$$b_G = 11 - (2/3)n_f = 7, \qquad b_{\text{EM}} = -11/3$$

Pointlike dimension-5 operators coupling  $\chi$  to  $gg,~\gamma\gamma$  after expanding the log.

Rather mysterious...

Dilaton couplings: loop induced

Another way to understand the couplings to massless vectors:

If EM, QCD are part of the conformal sector, their beta functions must be zero above the conformal-breaking scale.

$$\sum_{ight} b_i + \sum_{heavy} b_i = 0$$

New stuff must run in the loops to cancel the SM beta function.  $\Rightarrow$  This new stuff also runs in the  $\chi gg$ ,  $\chi \gamma \gamma$  loops!

Key assumption: EM, QCD are also conformal in high-energy theory!

### Dilaton couplings: loop induced

Define scaling factors in terms of SM Higgs 1-loop coupling:

$$R_{g} = \frac{\left|-b_{G} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}F_{1/2}(\tau_{i})\right|^{2}}{\left|\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}F_{1/2}(\tau_{i})\right|^{2}}, \qquad R_{\gamma} = \frac{\left|-b_{\mathsf{EM}} + \sum_{i}N_{ci}Q_{i}^{2}F_{i}(\tau_{i})\right|^{2}}{\left|\sum_{i}N_{ci}Q_{i}^{2}F_{i}(\tau_{i})\right|^{2}}$$

 $gg \to \chi$  cross section,  $\chi \to gg, \; \gamma\gamma$  partial widths scaled compared to SM Higgs as

$$\frac{v^2}{f^2}R_g, \qquad \frac{v^2}{f^2}R_\gamma$$

QCD running quite strong  $\rightarrow$  large beta function,  $R_g \simeq 140$  for  $M_{\chi} = 125$  GeV

EM running weaker

ightarrow beta function fairly small,  $R_\gamma \simeq$  2.43 for  $M_\chi =$  125 GeV



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

**Dilaton production:** simple scaling from SM Higgs rates

LEP, ILC:

$$\frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to Z\chi)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to ZH_{\rm SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2}$$

LHC:

$$\frac{\sigma(gg \to \chi)}{\sigma(gg \to H_{\rm SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2} R_g$$
$$\frac{\sigma({\rm VBF} \to \chi)}{\sigma({\rm VBF} \to H_{\rm SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2}$$
$$\frac{\sigma(q\bar{q} \to V\chi)}{\sigma(q\bar{q} \to VH_{\rm SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2}$$

Photon collider:

$$\frac{\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \chi)}{\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to H_{\rm SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2} R_{\gamma}$$

#### Dilaton decays

Main differences from SM Higgs:

- All tree-level partial widths scaled by  $v^2/f^2$
- Partial widths to gg,  $\gamma\gamma$  scaled by  $R_{g}v^{2}/f^{2}$ ,  $R_{\gamma}v^{2}/f^{2}$



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

### Dilaton decays

gg is dominant decay below 160 GeV: all other BRs suppressed



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

LEP constraints: extrapolated from Higgs search



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)

Excludes  $f \lesssim 400$  GeV

Solid:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\chi$ ,  $\chi \rightarrow bb$  and  $\tau\tau$  [LEP final combination, PLB565, 61 (2003)] Dash-dot:  $\chi \rightarrow$  hadrons (bb + cc + gg) [LEP Higgs WG, hep-ex/0107034] Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs Magnificent Mile 2012

### LHC constraints

From ATLAS + CMS SM Higgs searches, 1.0-2.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 7 TeV (Lepton-Photon 2011)



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)

$$\frac{\sigma(pp \to \chi)}{\sigma(pp \to H_{SM})} = \frac{v^2}{f^2} \frac{R_g \sigma(gg \to H_{SM}) + \sigma(VBF \to H_{SM})}{\sigma(gg \to H_{SM}) + \sigma(VBF \to H_{SM})}$$
Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs Magnificent Mile 2012

## LHC constraints

Updated with full-2011-dataset  $\gamma\gamma$  analyses (Moriond 2012)





# A 125 GeV dilaton?

LHC diphoton excess is consistent with a light dilaton



CMS, arXiv:1202.1488

Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Light dilaton vs. Higgs

## A 125 GeV dilaton?

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{BR}(\chi\to\gamma\gamma)/\mathsf{BR}(\chi\to ZZ)\simeq 2.43\,\times\,\mathsf{SM}\\ &\mathsf{-Inclusive}\,\,pp\to\chi\to WW,\,\,\tau\tau,\,\,\mathsf{etc.:}\,\,\mathsf{same}\,\,\mathsf{suppression}\,\,\mathsf{as}\,\,ZZ\\ &\mathsf{BR}(\chi\to\gamma\gamma)=0.200\,\times\,\mathsf{SM},\,\,\mathsf{BR}(\chi\to ZZ)=0.0823\,\times\,\mathsf{SM} \end{split}$$

 $\sigma(gg 
ightarrow \chi) / \sigma(\mathsf{VBF} 
ightarrow \chi) \simeq 140 imes \mathsf{SM}$ 

- Associated  $W\chi$ ,  $Z\chi$  production: same suppression as VBF

Inclusive $pp \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$2 \times SM$	$1 \times SM$
f	886 GeV	1253 GeV
$\sigma(gg  o \chi)$	$10.8 \times SM$	$5.39 \times SM$
$\sigma(VBF \rightarrow \chi)$	$7.71\% \times SM$	$3.85\% \times SM$
Inclusive $pp \to \chi \to ZZ$	$0.823 \times SM$	$0.411 \times SM$
$VBF  o \chi  o \gamma \gamma$	1.54%  imes SM	$0.77\% \times SM$
$VBF \to \chi \to \tau \tau$	$0.63\% \times SM$	$0.32\% \times SM$

## Distinguishing features

- Severe suppression of VBF, WH/ZH associated production Signals  $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$  SM rate  $\sigma(gg \rightarrow \chi)/\sigma(VBF \rightarrow \chi) = 140 \times SM \Leftarrow measure R_g??$  (lower bound)
- Relative rates in  $\gamma\gamma$  compared to WW, ZZBR $(\chi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ /BR $(\chi \rightarrow ZZ)$  = 2.43 × SM  $\Leftarrow$  measure  $R_{\gamma}$ !
- $Z\gamma$  final state provides one more distinctive handle  $R_{Z\gamma}$  related to  $\beta$ -function for  $\sin^2 \theta_W$

- Can't make direct measurement of  $v^2/f^2$  without model assumptions about BRs. Dominant decay into gg not detectable at LHC.

- Dilaton contributes only  $v^2/f^2$  of the "Higgs exchange" amplitude needed to unitarize longitudinal WW scattering:  $\rightarrow$  expect additional strong-dynamics effects near TeV scale.

#### Distinguishing features: a caveat

Predictions of  $R_q = 140$ ,  $R_{\gamma} = 2.43$  (for  $M_{\chi} = 125$  GeV) rely on QCD, EM being part of the conformal sector.

An exception: Radion in Randall-Sundrum models. Dual to dilaton, except for bulk contributions to Rgg,  $R\gamma\gamma$ 



Barger, Ishida & Keung, arXiv:1111.4473

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

**ILC prospects**:  $v^2/f^2$  cross section suppression hurts a lot but ILC buys you model-independent measurement of f from  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\chi)$ and access to dominant gg decay mode.



Inclusive $pp \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$2 \times SM$	$1 \times SM$
f	886 GeV	1253 GeV
$\underline{\qquad \sigma(e^+e^- \to Z\chi)}$	$7.71\% \times SM$	$3.85\% \times SM$

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

## Photon collider prospects:

 $\gamma\gamma\to\chi$  coupling enhancement makes rate only a little better No decay-mode-independent production rate measurement at PC



Coleppa, Gregoire & HEL, PRD85, 055001 (2012)Inclusive  $pp \rightarrow \chi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  $2 \times SM$  $1 \times SM$ f886 GeV1253 GeV $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \chi)$  $18.7\% \times SM$  $9.37\% \times SM$ 

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Light dilaton vs. Higgs

More exotic dilaton features:  $\chi \chi VV$  couplings

Couplings of the physical dilaton  $\chi$  up to dimension 4:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu \left(\frac{2\chi}{f} + \frac{\chi^2}{f^2}\right) - \frac{\chi}{f} m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i + \cdots$$

Compare the SM Higgs:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} M_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu \left(\frac{2h}{v} + \frac{h^2}{v^2}\right) - \frac{h}{v} m_i \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i + \cdots$$

SM Higgs  $hhW_{\mu}W_{
u}$  coupling is pure gauge,  $\propto g^2$ 

- True for any SU(2) doublet scalar, no matter its vev

Dilaton  $\chi \chi W_\mu W_
u$  coupling is  $\propto g^2 v^2/f^2$ 

- Consistent with SM Higgs mixed with SU(2) singlet, with new stuff in gg,  $\gamma\gamma$  loops.

- Distinguish dilaton from SM Higgs mixed with inert doublet.
- Not easy to measure: need double dilaton production.

More exotic dilaton features: dilaton self-coupling

In pure conformal theory, dilaton is derivatively self-coupled

Explicit breaking of CFT generates non-derivative couplings—and a nonzero mass—for  $\chi$ 

Generally get a triple-dilaton coupling different from the corresponding triple-SM-Higgs coupling; details depend on nature of the explicit conformal-breaking operator.

Goldberger, Grinstein & Skiba, arXiv:0708.1463

Again not easy to measure: need double dilaton production.

- LHC: rates very low, backgrounds very challenging, need to disentangle from  $\chi\chi gg$  coupling.

- ILC: rates even more suppressed than SM Higgs, need to disentangle from  $\chi \chi VV$  coupling.

## Conclusions

The ATLAS/CMS excess in diphotons at  $\sim$  125 GeV is consistent with a light dilaton with  $f \sim$  800–1300 GeV.

Distinguishing a 125 GeV dilaton from the SM Higgs is actually pretty straightforward:

- $\mathsf{BR}(\chi \to \gamma \gamma) / \mathsf{BR}(\chi \to ZZ) \simeq 2.43 \times \mathsf{SM}$
- VBF,  $W\chi/Z\chi$  associated production  $\sim 1\%$  imes SM

Predictions are based on QED, QCD being part of conformal sector

Dilaton does not fully unitarize longitudinal WW scattering: expect strong-dynamics effects around TeV scale