

Charged Higgs phenomenology beyond the MSSM

Heather Logan Carleton University

PI-ATLAS LHC Day Perimeter Institute, 2009 October 30

Based on:

H.E.L. and D. MacLennan, Phys. Rev. D79, 115022 (2009)

H.E.L. and D. MacLennan, arXiv:091x.xxxx

S.M. Davidson and H.E.L., arXiv:0906.3335 and work in progress

Outline

Introduction: the Higgs sector

Charged Higgs in the MSSM and experimental studies

Other models:

- Lepton-specific two Higgs doublet model
- Flipped two Higgs doublet model
- Two-doublet model for neutrino masses

Conclusions

Introduction: the Standard Model Higgs mechanism on one slide

Introduce a single complex SU(2)-doublet scalar field Φ . Scalar potential $V = -\mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2$ triggers electroweak symmetry breaking:

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ (v + \phi^{0,r} + i\phi^{0,i})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } v = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}}.$$

Physical Higgs is $h^0 = \phi^{0,r}$.

Would-be Goldstone bosons are $G^0 = \phi^{0,i}$, $G^+ = \phi^+$ and can be gauged away ("eaten by the W and Z").

Covariant derivative term $(\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}(D_{\mu}\Phi)$ gives weak boson masses $M_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4}$, $M_Z^2 = \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)v^2}{4}$. Yukawas $\mathcal{L} = -y_{ij}^d \bar{d}_{Ri} \Phi Q_{Lj} - y_{ij}^u \bar{u}_{Ri} \tilde{\Phi} Q_{Lj} - y_{ij}^\ell \bar{e}_{Ri} \Phi L_{Lj} + h.c.$ give fermion mass matrices $m_{ij}^f = y_{ij}^f v/\sqrt{2}$; diagonalizing gives fermion masses (with y_{ij}^f diagonalized automatically); CKM matrix from mismatch between u_L and d_L rotations.

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Charged Higgs pheno PI-ATLAS LHC day 2009

3

Two automatic features of the Standard Model:

1) Custodial symmetry preserved at tree level:

$$\frac{M_W}{M_Z \cos \theta_W} = 1 \qquad \text{where } \cos \theta_W \equiv \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}.$$

Maintained in extended Higgs sectors if they contain only doublets (and singlets).

Two-doublet models: covariant derivative terms become

$$\mathcal{L} \supset (\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \Phi_1)^{\dagger} (D_{\mu} \Phi_1) + (\mathcal{D}^{\mu} \Phi_2)^{\dagger} (D_{\mu} \Phi_2)$$

Gauge boson masses become

$$M_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v_1^2}{4} + \frac{g^2 v_2^2}{4}, \qquad M_Z^2 = \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v_1^2}{4} + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v_2^2}{4}$$

Preserves $\frac{M_W}{M_Z \cos \theta_W} = 1$; requires $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v_{\text{SM}}^2$.

With two doublets we have four more scalar degrees of freedom:

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ (v_1 + \phi_1^{0,r} + i\phi_1^{0,i})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ (v_2 + \phi_2^{0,r} + i\phi_2^{0,i})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = v_{\text{SM}}^2 = 4M_W^2/g^2$ and $v_2/v_1 \equiv \tan \beta$.

Mass eigenstates:

$$h^{0} = -\sin \alpha \phi_{1}^{0,r} + \cos \alpha \phi_{2}^{0,r}, \qquad H^{0} = \cos \alpha \phi_{1}^{0,r} + \sin \alpha \phi_{2}^{0,r}$$
$$A^{0} = -\sin \beta \phi_{1}^{0,i} + \cos \beta \phi_{2}^{0,i}, \qquad G^{0} = \cos \beta \phi_{1}^{0,i} + \sin \beta \phi_{2}^{0,i}$$
$$H^{+} = -\sin \beta \phi_{1}^{+} + \cos \beta \phi_{2}^{+}, \qquad G^{+} = \cos \beta \phi_{1}^{+} + \sin \beta \phi_{2}^{+}$$

Can rotate by angle β to "Higgs basis": $s \equiv \sin(\beta - \alpha), c \equiv \cos(\beta - \alpha)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{+} & H^{+} \\ (v_{SM} + (sh^{0} + cH^{0}) + iG^{0})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H^{+} \\ ((ch^{0} - sH^{0}) + iA^{0})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Gauge couplings:
 $\gamma H^{+}H^{-}, ZH^{+}H^{-}, W^{-}H^{+}A^{0}, W^{-}H^{+}(ch^{0} - sH^{0})$

Two automatic features of the Standard Model:

2) No flavor-changing neutral Higgs couplings.

Generic multi-Higgs-doublet model:

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Yuk}} \supset -y_{ij}^d \bar{d}_{Ri} \Phi_1 Q_{Lj} - \tilde{y}_{ij}^d \bar{d}_{Ri} \Phi_2 Q_{Lj} + h.c.$

Mass matrix for down-type quarks: $m_{ij}^d = (y_{ij}^d v_1 + \tilde{y}_{ij}^d v_2)/\sqrt{2}$. Diagonalizing m_{ij}^d does *not* in general diagonalize y_{ij}^d and \tilde{y}_{ij}^d separately; leads to flavor-changing neutral Higgs couplings.

Flavor-changing neutral Higgs couplings are forbidden if each type of fermion (u, d, ℓ) gets its mass from exactly one Higgs doublet: called "natural flavor conservation." [Glashow & Weinberg; Paschos; 1977]

One doublet:
$$\mathcal{L} = -y_{ij}^d \bar{d}_{Ri} \Phi Q_{Lj} - y_{ij}^u \bar{u}_{Ri} \tilde{\Phi} Q_{Lj} - y_{ij}^\ell \bar{e}_{Ri} \Phi L_{Lj} + h.c.$$

Two doublets: four ways to assign fermion couplings (u, d, ℓ) :

	Туре I	Type II	Leptonic	Flipped
Φ ₁	_	d,ℓ	ℓ	d
Φ2	u,d,ℓ	u	u,d	u,ℓ

Charged Higgs couplings to fermions (all $\times \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}M_W}$):

Model	$H^+ ar{u}_i d_j$	$H^+ \bar{ u}_i \ell_i$
Туре І	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L - \cot\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$\cotetam_{\ell i}P_R$
Туре II	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L + \tan\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$ aneta m_{\ell i} P_R$
Leptonic	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L - \cot\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$ aneta m_{\ell i} P_R$
Flipped	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L + \tan\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$\cotetam_{\ell i}P_R$

Physics controlled by $\tan\beta$ and M_{H^+} . Most experimental studies: Type II model (same as in MSSM).



Aoki et al, Phys. Rev. D80, 015017(2009)

LEP, Tevatron, and LHC



ADLO, hep-ex/0107031

Separate OPAL analysis for $BR(H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu) = 1$: $M_{H^+} \geq 92.0 \text{ GeV}$ Abbiendi et al [OPAL], Eur. Phys. J. C32, 453 (2004)Heather Logan (Carleton U.)Charged Higgs phenoPI-ATLAS LHC day 2009

LEP, Tevatron, and LHC

Tevatron search for charged Higgs in top decay

Type-II model: coupling for $t \to bH^+$ is $\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}M_W}V_{tb}(\cot\beta m_t P_L + \tan\beta m_b P_R)$





 $BR(H^+ \to c\bar{s}) = 1$ Look for $M_{jj} \neq M_W$

 $\mathsf{BR}(H^+ \to \tau \nu) = 1$



CDF, PRL103, 101803 (2009)

DZero, arXiv:0908.1811

Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

LEP, Tevatron, and LHC

LHC search prospects: Type II 2HDM

Light charged Higgs: top decay $t \to H^+ b$ with $H^+ \to \tau \nu$







Heavy charged Higgs: associated production tH^+ with $H^+ \rightarrow tb$

Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

Lepton-specific two Higgs doublet model

Model	$H^+ ar{u}_i d_j$	$H^+ \bar{\nu}_i \ell_i$
Type II	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L + \tan\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$ aneta m_{\ell i} P_R$
Leptonic	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L - \cot\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$ aneta m_{\ell i} P_R$

Couplings to quarks: $\propto \cot \beta$, same pattern as Type I 2HDM.

- Constraint from $b \to s\gamma$ same as in Type-I model: $\tan \beta \gtrsim 4(2)$ for $M_{H^+} = 100(500)$ GeV. [Su & Thomas, PRD79, 095014 (2009)] - Production rates in $t \to H^+b$, tH^+ associated production sup-

pressed by $\cot^2\beta$.

Couplings to leptons: $\propto \tan \beta$

- Decays to taus usually dominate
- Model used as "messenger" of dark matter for PAMELA/ATIC positron excess [Goh, Hall & Kumar, JHEP 05 (2009) 097]



Below the *tb* threshold: decays almost entirely to $\tau\nu$. [Plot: Aoki et al, PRD80, 015017(2009)] Use LEP limit from OPAL: $M_{H^+} \ge 92.0 \text{ GeV}$ Abbiendi et al [OPAL], EPJC32, 453 (2004)



ല്<mark>ല</mark>200 ല

180

160

140

120

100

80

60

40

20 0 **Exclusion Region**

Щ

100

200

300

 $\tau \rightarrow e \nu \bar{\nu} \text{ VS } \tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}$: Tree-level charged Higgs exchange affects lepton universality. [Plot: HEL & D. MacLennan, PRD79, 115022 (2009)] $M_{H^+} \geq 1.4 \tan \beta \text{ GeV}$ (plus allowed sliver: 0.61–0.73 tan β GeV)

Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

PI-ATLAS LHC day 2009

400

500

600 M_{⊔₌}[GeV]

SUPER Reach

Lepton-specific two Higgs doublet model: LHC prospects Decays are to $\tau\nu$; also tb above threshold for tan β not too large.



Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno PI-ATLAS LHC day 2009

Flipped two Higgs doublet model

Model	$H^+ ar{u}_i d_j$	$H^+ \bar{\nu}_i \ell_i$
Type II	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L + \tan\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$ aneta m_{\ell i} P_R$
Flipped	$V_{ij}(\cot\beta m_{ui}P_L + \tan\beta m_{dj}P_R)$	$\cotetam_{\ell i}P_R$

Couplings to quarks: same pattern as Type II 2HDM.

- Constraint from $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ same as in Type-II model, $M_{H^+} \gtrsim 200-$ 300 GeV [modulo cancellations with other flavor-violating contributions] - Production rates in $t \rightarrow H^+b$, tH^+ associated production same as in Type-II.

Couplings to leptons: proportional to $\cot \beta$ instead of $\tan \beta$.



Flipped two Higgs doublet model: constraints

Limits from LEP: Can't use LEP combined: DELPHI and L3 actively rejected *b*s: no good for $H^+ \rightarrow c\overline{b}$. OPAL and ALEPH just selected jets: assumption is BR $(H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ + BR $(H^+ \rightarrow q\overline{q}')$ = 1.

 $M_{H^+} > 78.0$ GeV overall 83.4 GeV for BR $(H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu) = 1$, 80.7 GeV for BR $(H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu) = 0$

P. Colas [ALEPH], CERN-ALEPH-2001-016



Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

Flipped two Higgs doublet model: constraints

Top quark decay at the Tevatron:

For $\tan \beta \sim 1$, H^+ branching ratios about the same as in Type II model. Use Tevatron limits from DØ directly.

For $\tan \beta \gtrsim 3$, $H^+ \rightarrow c\bar{b} + c\bar{s} \simeq 1$. Translate CDF limits on $BR(t \to H^+b)$ with $BR(H^+ \to c\bar{s}) = 1$. $H^+ \to c\bar{b}$ should have only slightly worse M_{jj} resolution.

M_{H^+} (GeV)	allowed $\tan\beta$ range
100	1.40-28.8
120	1.10-26.2
150	0.53-65.8

PRELIMINARY HEL & D. MacLennan, in preparation

Flipped two Higgs doublet model: LHC prospects

Production couplings to quarks are identical to Type II 2HDM.

Below tb threshold, decays are to $\tau \nu$ for tan $\beta < 3$, cb + cs for $\tan \beta > 3$.

Above tb threshold, decays to tb always dominate.



HEL & D. MacLennan, in preparation

Flipped two Higgs doublet model: LHC prospects

Light charged Higgs: BR $(t \rightarrow H^+b)$ same as Type II model, but $H^+ \rightarrow cb$, cs at large tan β ! LHC studies with $H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu$ not applicable.

Heavy charged Higgs: $H^+ \rightarrow tb$ decay dominates; tH^+ associated production cross section same as Type II model. Studies carry over verbatim.

 5σ discovery prospects (30 fb⁻¹): [based on ATLAS]

aneta	M_{H^+} range accessible (GeV)
30	180-200
45	180-250
60	180-300

Two-doublet model for neutrino masses

S.M. Davidson and H.E.L., arXiv:0906.3335

New field content:

3 right-handed two-component neutrinos ν_{R_i} (EW singlets) Second scalar doublet Φ_2 , same EW charges as SM Higgs New symmetry: global U(1)

 ν_{R_i} and Φ_2 have charge +1; all SM fields uncharged $M\nu_R\nu_R$ Majorana mass term forbidden by global U(1).

Lepton Yukawa couplings: structure fixed by U(1)

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = -y_{ij}^{\ell} \bar{e}_{R_i} \Phi_1^{\dagger} L_{L_j} - y_{ij}^{\nu} \bar{\nu}_{R_i} \tilde{\Phi}_2^{\dagger} L_{L_j} + \text{h.c.}$$

To generate neutrino masses, break U(1) explicitly:

$$V = m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - [m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \text{h.c.}] + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)$$

 Φ_2 gets a tiny vev $v_2 \sim eV$.

Particles and couplings

3 SM neutrinos are Dirac particles; no additional fermionic d.o.f. 4 new scalar degrees of freedom: H^{\pm} , H^{0} , A^{0}

Mixing effects: new scalars $\sim \Phi_2 + O(v_2/v_1)\Phi_1$: completely negligible

Yukawa couplings of physical scalars:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yuk} = \frac{m_{\nu_i}}{v_2} H^0 \bar{\nu}_i \nu_i - \frac{i m_{\nu_i}}{v_2} A^0 \bar{\nu}_i \gamma_5 \nu_i - \sqrt{2} \frac{m_{\nu_i}}{v_2} [U_{\ell i}^* H^+ \bar{\nu}_i P_L e_{\ell} + \text{h.c.}]$$

$$U_{\ell i} \text{ is the Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata-Pontecorvo matrix}$$

Constraint from big bang nucleosynthesis:

$$y_i^{\nu} \equiv \sqrt{2} \frac{m_{\nu_i}}{v_2} \lesssim \frac{1}{30} \left[\frac{M_{H^+}}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right] \left[\frac{1/\sqrt{2}}{|U_{\ell i}|} \right]$$

a little bigger than SM bottom quark Yukawa coupling

or $v_2 \gtrsim 2 \text{ eV}$ (scales with heaviest neutrino mass).

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Charged Higgs pheno PI-ATLAS LHC day 2009

21

Phenomenology: decays of new scalars

Fermionic modes: $H^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$, $A^0/H^0 \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ (via y_i^{ν}) Bosonic modes: $A^0/H^0 \rightarrow W^+H^-$ or $H^+ \rightarrow W^+A^0/H^0$ (gauge int) depends on masses: $M_{A}^{2} = M_{H}^{2} = M_{H^{+}}^{2} + \lambda_{4}v_{1}^{2}/2$

Most interesting decays: $H^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu$.

Assume $M_{A,H} > M_{H^+}$: no $H^+ \rightarrow W^+ H^0 / A^0$.

$$\Gamma\left(H^{+} \to \ell^{+}\nu\right) = \frac{M_{H^{+}}}{8\pi v_{2}^{2}} \sum_{i} m_{\nu_{i}}^{2} |U_{\ell i}|^{2}$$

Depends on expectation value of m_{ν}^2 in *flavor* eigenstate ν_{ℓ} .

$$\mathsf{BR}(H^+ \to \ell^+ \nu) = \frac{\sum_i m_{\nu_i}^2 |U_{\ell i}|^2}{\sum_{\ell} \left[\sum_i m_{\nu_i}^2 |U_{\ell i}|^2 \right]}$$

Identical to Φ^+ decay BRs in Type-2 seesaw model.



Behavior controlled by $\theta_{23} \sim 45^{\circ}$, U_{e3} small.

Normal hierarchy: eigenstate 3 contains half of ν_{μ} , half of ν_{τ} , very little ν_{e}

 $\rightarrow \mathsf{BR}(\mu\nu) \simeq \mathsf{BR}(\tau\nu) \simeq 1/2, \ \mathsf{BR}(e\nu) \ll 1$

Inverted hierarchy: eigenstates 1 & 2 contain all of ν_e , half of ν_μ , half of ν_τ

 $ightarrow {\sf BR}(e
u) \simeq 1/2, \; {\sf BR}(\mu
u) \simeq {\sf BR}(au
u) \simeq 1/4$

Degenerate spectrum

 \rightarrow all three BRs = 1/3.

Heather Logan (Carleton U.) Charged Higgs pheno

Constraints: LEP limit on H^+H^-

 $BR(H^+ \rightarrow \tau \nu)$ too small for usual LEP charged Higgs search. Look at LEP slepton searches instead with massless "neutralino".



Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno



Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

Phenomenology: LHC prospects

Rely on pair production: $pp \rightarrow H^+H^-$, $H^\pm A^0/H^0$, A^0H^0

- No coups to quarks; $H^+\ell_L^u_R$ coupling $\lesssim 1/30$ (BBN constraint)
- Single production $\sim g^2 v_2$: super tiny



Heather Logan (Carleton U.)

Charged Higgs pheno

Phenomenology: LHC prospects

S.M. Davidson and H.E.L., work in progress

Signal:
$$pp \to H^+H^- \to \mu^+\mu^-p_T^{\text{miss}}$$
, $e^+e^-p_T^{\text{miss}}$, $e^\pm\mu^\mp p_T^{\text{miss}}$

Major backgrounds: W^+W^- , $t\bar{t}$, ZZ, $Z\gamma$

Selection cuts:
Both leptons
$$p_T > 20$$
 GeV; $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 30$ GeV
Veto jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV (kills most of $t\bar{t}$ background)
Veto Z pole, 80 GeV $< M_{\ell^+\ell^-} < 100$ GeV
 $H'_T \equiv p_T^{\ell^+} + p_T^{\ell^-} + p_T^{\text{miss}} > 200$ (600) GeV [for $M_{H^+} = 100$ (300) GeV]

Looks promising for discovery of $M_{H^+} = 100$ GeV with 30 fb⁻¹ ($M_{H^+} = 300$ GeV with 300 fb⁻¹) [preliminary]

Conclusions

LHC studies for charged Higgs are well-developed... for the Type II model (and to some extent for Type I).

Other charged Higgs coupling patterns lead to different signal processes – both production and decay.

For some channels, LHC studies can be reinterpreted directly. - Flipped 2HDM: $H^+ \rightarrow t\overline{b}$

For others, new phenomenological & experimental studies needed.

- Neutrino mass model: $H^+H^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^{(\prime)-} p_T^{\text{miss}}$ promising
- Lepton-specific 2HDM: can we do anything with $H^+H^- \rightarrow \tau \tau$?